

Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

| | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| Subject of assessment: | ASC Residential Charging Policy | | | |
| Coverage: | Crosscutting | | | |
| This is a decision relating to: | <input type="checkbox"/> Strategy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Service | <input type="checkbox"/> Function |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Process/procedure | <input type="checkbox"/> Programme | <input type="checkbox"/> Project | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Review |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Organisational change | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please state) | | |
| It is a: | New approach: | <input type="checkbox"/> | Revision of an existing approach: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| It is driven by: | Legislation: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Local or corporate requirements: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Description: | <p>Key aims, objectives and activities To assess the impact of proceeding with an updated Residential Charging Policy.</p> <p>Statutory drivers The Council has a legal obligation to hold a Residential Charging Policy as set out in the Care and Support (Charging and assessment of resources) Regulations 2014.</p> <p>Differences from any previous approach Following a review of the policy, some amendments have been necessary to reflect and update in policy and legislation and to respond to some inconsistencies and presentational issues. The minor amendments required in the updated policy will result in no changes to the threshold to services and support.</p> <p>Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate) Key stakeholders: the Council; Service Users; Social Care providers</p> <p>Intended outcomes. To seek the adoption of an updated a suitable policy under which to assess the level of financial support required for residential care services</p> | | | |
| Live date: | | | | |
| Lifespan: | Until Further Notice (review every 3 years) | | | |
| Date of next review: | | | | |

| Screening questions | Response | | | Evidence |
|---|----------|-----|-----------|--|
| | No | Yes | Uncertain | |
| Human Rights Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation?* | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | No evidence to suggest that the policy will have an adverse impact on individuals in terms of human rights. |
| Equality Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups?* | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | No evidence to suggest that the policy will have an adverse impact on individuals in terms of equality. |
| Community cohesion Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town?* | ☒ | ☐ | ☐ | No evidence to suggest that the policy will have an adverse impact on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town. |
| Next steps: ➡ If the answer to all of the above screening questions is No then the process is completed. ➡ If the answer of any of the questions is Yes or Uncertain, then a Level 2 Full Impact Assessment must be completed. | | | | |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|----------------|
| Assessment completed by: | Martin Barker | Head of Service: | Janette Savage |
| Date: | 16.4.24 | Date: | 16.4.24 |

* Consult the Impact Assessment further guidance appendix for details on the issues covered by each of these broad questions prior to completion.